official and even a member of the Board itself, went so far as to suggest measures by which the ends of the law could be defeated; and this in spite of the overwhelming evidence in the hands of the Board, and the confession of the defendant! Does that meet with your approval? A member of the Board-a man appointed to carry out the law-one sworn to obey the law and safeguard the interests of the people of California, actually suggesting how the law might be infracted! Would it not be a nice state of affairs if all the members were of the same stripe? There is another thing. Some doctors are aiding illegal practitioners to evade the law by harboring them in their offices, or using them as cappers, and in case of arrest go into court and swear that the defendant is merely a student or office assistant. The courts of many states have decided that the only professional position open to an illegal practitioner is that of hospital interne. Does this sort of thing meet with your approval? It certainly has not found favor with juries in San Francisco.

Elsewhere in this issue the subject of State Society Journals is discussed at some length. If

SOCIETY JOURNALS.

one may judge of the general feeling throughout the state by the expressions that various members of some fifteen county societies have

made to the editor, California physicians decidedly approve of the journal plan. The question will soon confront the newly amalgamated New York Society. At last the obstructionists in that State, in both the Society and the Association, have given way, and union will be an accomplished fact very soon—as soon as the county organizations can act, and many of them have already ratified the agreement. Shall the Society then carry on the Association's journal? The Buffalo Medical Journal discusses the question, in a recent issue, and urges that the journal (of the Association) be discontinued and the old series of annual transactions (of the Society) kept up. The Society has published its Transactions for nearly a hundred years and this seems to be the principal argument for continuing to do so, and ceasing to publish the journal. It is also claimed that copies of a journal become lost or destroyed and then members have not a complete record. The further question of greater expense is about the only other argument adduced to support the plea for discontinuing the journal, when the Society shall have absorbed the Association. How puerile these arguments seem, when compared with the reasons for a State Society publishing a journal! It is reasonably safe to say that the New York Association could not have attained nearly the size, and the influence which it has secured, without its journal. It is also safe and conservative to prophesy that the profession in that State will

not be well or fully organized if the journal is discontinued. Twelve messages a year to each member are worth a whole lot more than one; more than twelve times as much as one delayed volume of "Transactions" which nobody thinks of reading. They may look well on the library shelves—but so does a file of bound journals. There does not seem to be any good reason why the volumes of journals cannot be bound and added to the "nearly one hundred volumes of Transactions." Certainly, in the State Society journal one does not find a paid reading notice following a grave editorial in the editorial pages, as is the case in the very journal making the argument against State Journals, the Buffalo Medical Journal.

One of the important matters to come before the State Society at the Paso Robles meeting will be an invitation to the American

TO INVITE
THE A.M.A. Medical Association to hold its sessions in California next year. Oregon and Washington are also mak-

ing overtures in the same direction, and the Journal of the A. M. A. has editorially referred to Oregon's proposed invitation, stating but little probability exists that the meeting will be held on the Coast for some years to come. objections given do not appeal to the Journal as reasonable. One is that the distance is too great and the time necessary to make the trip cannot be spared by the busy Eastern doctors. By careful computation it may be demonstrated that the distance from the Missouri to the Pacific Coast is practically the same as from the Pacific Coast to the Missouri; and while it is true that more members of the Association live to the eastward than out this way, it cannot be proved that their time is of greater value than is that of the Westerners. If a Chicago man, for instance, cannot spare the time to attend the meetings of the A. M. A., he would be as unlikely to visit the Atlantic Coast as the Pacific. A cordial and hearty invitation to the Association to come out to the land of sunshine and flowers would be accepted by hundreds who know the delights of a sojourn here through experience, and by other hundreds who would be glad of an opportunity to come.

A most aggravating case of malpractice suit without reasonable foundation in fact, based upon ridiculous claims and supported by testimony and "expert evidence" of all sorts—though mostly bad—has pestered a member of the Society

for more than five years. At the first trial, the jury stood eight for defendant and four for plaintiff. At the second trial the defendant won. But appeal was taken and the Supreme Court has